### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 203 938 JC 910 389

AUTHOR

NOTE

Gold, Ben K.
Summary of Pesponses to Follow-Up Questionnaire Sent
to Nursing Graduates in Five LACCD Colleges.

INSTITUTION PEPORT NO PUB DATE

Los Angeles City Coll., Calif.

IACC-PS-91-7

May 81 16p.

EDRS PRICE
DESCRIPTORS

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
Asian Americans: Black Students: College Graduates:
Community Colleges: Ethnic Groups: Graduate Surveys:
Hispanic Americans: Multicarpus Districts: \*Nursing
Fducation: \*Participant Satisfaction: Professional
Continuing Education: \*Program Effectiveness: Program

Evaluation: State Licensing Boards: Student Characteristics: Student Employment: Testing Programs: Two Year Colleges: Two Year College

Students: White Students

IDENTIFIERS Los Angeles Community Colleges CA

# ABSTRACT

As a means of evaluating its nursing curriculum, the Los Angeles Community College District conducted a follow-up survey of 111 nursing graduates: 33 White graduates of Los Angeles Pierce College: 17 Black graduates of Los Angeles Southwest College: 27 Hispanic graduates of Dast Los Angeles College: 19 Asian/Pacific Islander graduates of Los Angeles City Colleges: and a multi-ethnic group of 15 graduates from Los Angeles Valley College. The survey instrument solicited information concerning: (1) the usefulness and students' use of tutoring, the value of repetition of course content, and the adequacy of instruction in each specialized area of nursing: (2) the value of various educational experiences in preparing students to take the State Board examinations: (3) the perceived strengths or weaknesses of the college program with respect to a variety of clinical experiences, hospital training, and review courses: and (4) personal information, such as age, year of graduation, continuing education activities, and number of hours working while in school. Selected findings reveal that 65% of the respondents worked while in college and that approximately 20% had used college tutoring services. The graduates felt that more clinical experience should have been provided and that they were least prepared for the psychiatric nursing component of the board examination. The study report tabulates findings by college. (JP)



#### LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE

# "SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONNAIRE SENT TO NURSING GRADUATES IN FIVE LACCD COLLEGES

Research Study #81-7

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ben K. Gold

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES (MECHATION CENTER/ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT "BUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ECUCATION FOLIATIONAL PERSONNELS INFORMATION CENTER (EBIC)

- X This decoment has been reproduced as received from the present or reprovided uniquating d. Manus burgles have been reside to improve residuation quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in the docs intent to not the estably representable or felposition or pulsiv.

Ben K. Gold Research Office May 1981



## PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

In conjunction with a project, "Realth Occupations In-Service Education", directed by Linda Priodrich of the LACC Northan Paculty, the Research Office was asked to assist in the development and analysis of a questionnaire to be sent to recent Nursing graduates of five District colleges, each college sample to consist of a specific ethnic group. The purpose of the project was "to examine the relevance of current educational curriculum and practices to industry demands". This study analyzes the responses to the questionnaire sent to the graduates.

# PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

The questionnaire was designed to provide information concerning:

- (1) Current specialty practices in each field of expertise
- (2) The relevancy of the educational preparation as it relates to on-the-job demands.
- (3) Perceived strengths and weaknesses of the specific college educational program.

The instrument was to be mailed by each of the five colleges to thirty recent graduates. Completed questionnaires were furnished to the LACC Research Office and analyzed as indicated in the following section.

# FINDINGS

The following Table 1 shows the participating colleges, the ethnic group targeted, and the number of completed questionnaires received.

TABLE 1 - Distribution of Responses

College		Ethnic Group	No. Responding
(P)	Pierce	Anglo	33
(S)	Southwest	Black	17
(♡)	Valley	Multi-ethnic	15
(E)	East L.A.	Hispanic	27
(C)	LACC	Asian/Pacific	19
		(T) Total	111

The following tables summarize responses of each of these five groups (and the total) to the questions asked.



TABLE 2 - Number of hours per week worked for pay while a student

o. of hours	<u> </u>	S	V	E	(4 (3	Total
Ō	12	1.0	7	ő	.1	39
1-5	0	0	()	0	()	1)
6-10	3	1	1	4	i	L si.
11-15	1	O	Ö	0	Ç,	1
16-20	ઇ	IJ	5. 6.4	Ğ	ذ ا	2 in
21-25	9	0	$r_{\overline{q}}^{1}$	()	4	B
26-30	0	2	C	8	C	7.0
31-35	Q	1	1	O	.1	-; -:d
36-40	9	3	Ω	3	1	15
over 40	0	()	<u> </u>	0	()	0
Total	33 _	17	15	. 27	19	111
Median No.	Hrs. <u>16</u> _		6	18	18 _	17
% Working	64	41	53	78	79	65

TABLE 3 - The clinical experience in your R.N. program covered;

			P	5	V	37	<u> </u>	Total
2.	not enough different experiences enough different experiences too many different experiences	(0) (1) (2)		4 13	6 9 0	19 8 0	8 11 ()	40 70 0
	Tota	11	32	17	<u> 15</u>	<u> 27</u>	19	110_
	Mear	n .	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.6

TABLE 4 - The clinical experience in your R.N. program included:

			Þ	55	V	. E	<u> </u>	Total
1.	not enough time at the hospital	(0)	14	5	8	16	16	59
	· ·	(1)		10	7	1.1.	3	50
3.	too much time at the hospital	(2)	0	1	0	O	0	1
	· To	tal	33	16	15	27	, Tō	110
	Me	an	.0.6	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.5

TABLE 5 - If you took a State Board review course, where and from whom did you take it?

•	P	S	V	Ē	C	Total
USC	1	0	8	1	3	13
CSU	3	1	0	7	0	11
ELAC	0	O	O	6	O	6
LASW	0	3	0	2	O	5
LACC	0	0	0	0	4	4
Long Beach	1	0	1	1	1	4



Continued

TABLE 5 - Continued

	p.	2	Λ	77 7-1	<u> </u>	Total
Pasadena black Mussing	0	2 2	1 C	O 1	0	3
NBR	O	1	O	O	O	1.
Mini Moak	C	1	O	O	0	1
Individual Name	0	0	0	00	7	
Total	5	10	10	18	15_	58_
3 taking course	15	59	67	67	79	52

TABLE 6 - If you studied in a group for the State Boards, was there a leader in the group?

	P	S	V	Ē	C	Total
Хба	2	4	2	9	1	18
no	16	O	5	7	1	29
did not study in group	15	13	6	11	17	64
Total	33	17	15	27	19	111

TABLE 7 - If you studied in a group for your classwork, was there a leader in the group?

		P	s_	νν	E	C	Total
yes no did not study	' in group	5 17 - 11 - 1	6 2 9	<u>1</u> . 7 7	9 7 10	3 5 11	24 38 48
•	Total	33	17	15	26	19	110

TABLE 8 - Of the following areas in which you used tutoring, how much did the tutoring help?

		P	S	ν	E	<u>. C</u>	Total
(a)	Medical-Surgical Nursing helped a lot helped a little did not help hurt	0 0 0 0	3 1 0 0	5 2 0	4 4 0 0	0 2 2 2	12 9 2 0
	Total		4	7	8	4	23
	% used tutoring	0	24	47	30	21:	

TABLE 8 - Continued

	-	F	S	V	Ē	C	Total
(b)	Obstetrical Nursing						
(12.7	helped a lot	0	4	2	3	0	9
	helped a little	6	0	2	.4	.5	S
	did not help	0	O	1	0	2	3
	hurt	Ö	0	O	O	Õ	0
	_				,, - <del>,-,-</del>		
	Total	0_	4_	5	7	4_	20
	<pre>% used tutoring_</pre>	0	24	33	26	21	18
(c)	Pediatric Nursing					*	
(6)	helped a lot	0	4	4	2	Ō	- 10
		0	0	1	2	2	5
	helped a little	0	0	0	1	2	3
	did not help	0	0	0	Ō	Õ	, O
	hurt			<u> </u>	<del></del> ~		
	Total	_0_	4_	5_	5_	4_	18
	% used tutoring	0	24	33	19	21	16
	" SPER CUESTERN						
(d)	Psychiatric Nursing						
	helped a lot	0	4	1	4	0	9
	helped a little	0	1	1	5	2	9
	did not help	0	0	2	C	2	4
	hurt	0	0	O	O	()	0
	Total _	_0_	5	4	9_	4	22
	% used tutoring	O	.29	27	33	21	20
	· ·			<del>-</del>			
(e)	Reading						
	helped a lot	0	3	· 1	2	0	6
	helped a little	0	0	1	1.	1	3
	a did not help	0	0	1	1	3	5
	hurt _	0	0	0	0	0	0
	_	-	_				
	Total	_0_	3	3	4	4	14
	% used tutoring_	0	18	2.0	15	21	13
(f)	Mathematics		_		-	_	
	helped a lot	0	5	4	5	1	15
	helped a little	0	1	2	2	1	6
	did not help	0	0	0	0	2	2
	hurt	0	0	0	00	0	0
	Total _	0_	6_	6	7	4	23
	% used tutoring_	0	. 35	40	26	21	21
	* <del>* ***</del>						



TABLE 8 - Continued

	P	S	V	E	C	Total
(g) Microbiology			<del></del>			
helped a lot	0	2	0	3	0	5
halim a Haale	Ü	1	13,	1	1	Γ <u>'</u>
did not help	O	Ö	1	2	3	Q
hurt	0_	00	0	0	00	<u>C</u>
Total	00	3	3	6	4_	16
% used tuto:	ring 0	18	20	22	21	14
(h) Zoology, Anatomy Physic	ology					
helped a lot	0	2	O	4	Ō	6
helped a little	1	1	2	Q	1	5
did not help	O	0	1	2	.3	6
hurt	_ 0	00	0	0	0	0
Total	1	3	3	6	_4	_17_
% used tuto:	cing 0	18	20	22	21	15

TABLE 9 - Sometimes, information on a topic is repeated in more than one class. For any areas where there was repetition of subject matter from one class to another, please indicate whether such repetition was necessary or unnecessary.

		P	S	V	E	C	Total
(a)	Medical-Surgical Nursing		<del></del>				
	repetition necessary	23	16	13	16	18	86
	repetition unnecessary 🔩	1	0	0	2	O	3
	no repetition	4	0	2	3	0	9
	Total	28	16	1.5	21	18	98
(b)	Obstetrical Nursing						
	repetition necessary	11	. 11	9	7	9	47
	repetition unnecessary	1	1	2	3	0	7
	no repetition	16	0	3	7	9	35
	Total	28	12	14	17	18	89
(c)	Pediatric Nursing						2
	repetition necessary	15	11	10	1.1	14	61
	repetition unnecessary	1	1	2	1	0	5
	no repetition	13	0	11	7	4	25_
. '	Total	29	1.2	13	19	18	91



TABLE 9 - Continued

		P		V	<u> </u>		10791
(d)	Psychiatric Nursing repetition necessary repetition unnecessary no repetition	11 2 13	13 0 0	9	12 2 4	14 0	59 6
	Total	26	13	15	18	18	90_

TABLE 10 - With respect to the State Board examinations, please indicate the usefulness of each type of learning experience.

			P	S	V	Е	<u>C.</u>	Total_
(a)	Audio-Visual Pres	entations				<del></del>		
,	very useful	(2)	4	11	4	7	3	29
	useful	(1)	22	3	10	12	14	61
	not useful	(0)	5	3	1	3	1	13
		Total	_31	17_	15_	22	18_	103
		Mean	1.0	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2
/3- X	Classroom Lecture	e Diagnes	ui on					
(b)	very useful	(2)	26	1.3	10	17	15	81
	useful	(1)	7	4	3	8	4	26
	not useful	(0)	o O	Ó	2	Ō	0	2
		Total	33	_17	_15	_25	_19	109
		Mean	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.7
, ,								
(c)	Demonstrat ns	(3)	1.5	12	12	12	12	61
	very useful	(2)	13 18		2	12	6	41
	useful	(1)	18	3 2	1	0	1	5
	not useful	(0)						
		Total	_32	17	15	24	19_	<u>1</u> 0 <u>7</u>
		Mean	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.5
/ 11	= 1 1 3							
(d)	Field Trips	(2)	3	4	1	2	2	12
	very useful	(2)	ð. 3	6	7	11	8	41
	useful	(1)	15	4	5	9	6	39
	not useful	(0)	13	4				33
		Total	_27	14_	13	_22	_16	92_
		Mean	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7



TABLE 10 - Continued

(e) Professional Journals  very useful (2) 9 10 1 4 3 27  useful (1) 20 7 13 14 11 65  not useful (0) 4 0 1 5 4 14  Total 33 17 15 23 18 106  Mean 1 2 1.6 1.0 1.0 0.9 1  (f) Review of Classroom Notes  very useful (2) 24 13 9 11 11 68  useful (1) 9 3 4 9 6 31  not useful (0) 0 0 2 3 2 7	
very useful         (2)         9         10         1         4         3         27           useful         (1)         20         7         13         14         11         65           not useful         (0)         4         0         1         5         4         14           Total         33         17         15         23         18         106           Mean         1         2         1         6         1         0         9         1           (f)         Review of Classroom Notes         Very useful         (2)         24         13         9         11         11         68           useful         (1)         9         3         4         9         6         31	
useful not useful     (1)     20     7     13     14     11     65       not useful     (0)     4     0     1     5     4     14       Total     33     17     15     23     18     106       Mean     1     2     1.6     1.0     1.0     0.9     1       (f) Review of Classroom Notes       very useful     (2)     24     13     9     11     11     68       useful     (1)     9     3     4     9     6     31	.7
Total 33 17 15 23 18 5 106  Mean 1.2 1.6 1.0 1.0 0.9 1  (f) Review of Classroom Notes very useful (2) 24 13 9 11 11 68 useful (1) 9 3 4 9 6 31	5
Total 33 17 15 23 18 106  Mean 1.2 1.6 1.0 1.0 0.9 1  (f) Review of Classroom Notes very useful (2) 24 13 9 11 11 68 useful (1) 9 3 4 9 6 31	
Mean 1.2 1.6 1.0 1.0 0.9 1  (f) Review of Classroom Notes  very useful (2) 24 13 9 11 11 68  useful (1) 9 3 4 9 6 31	
(f) Review of Classroom Notes  very useful (2) 24 13 9 11 11 68  useful (1) 9 3 4 9 6 31	6
very useful     (2)     24     13     9     11     11     68       useful     (1)     9     3     4     9     6     31	1.1
very useful     (2)     24     13     9     11     11     68       useful     (1)     9     3     4     9     6     31	
useful (1) 9 3 4 9 6 31	8
100 d00fdt (0) 0 0 5 2 5 1	
Total <u>33 16 15 23 19 106</u>	6
Mean <u>1.7 1.8 1.5 1.3 1.5 1</u>	1.6
(g) Study of Testbooks	
very useful (2) 23 16 12 16 14 81	1
useful (1) 10 1 3, 8 5 27	7
not useful (0) 0 0 0 0 0	0
•	
Total 33 17 15 24 19 108	8
Mean 1.7 1.9 1.8 1.7 1.7 1	1.8
(h) Gen. Ed. Courses in Curriculum	
very useful (2) 3 8 2 6 2 21	1
useful (1) 22 7 7 12 13 61	L
not useful (0) <u>8 1 6 8 4 27</u>	7
Total 33 16 15 26 19 109	. <del>)</del>
Mean 1.0 1.3 1.2 1.3 0.8 1.	<u> </u>
(i) Out-of-class Teacher Contacts	
very useful (2) 7 7 4 10 1 29	
useful (1) 14 5 9 6 12 46	ò
not useful (0) 7 3 1 4 4 19	<del>)</del> 
Total 28 15 14 20 17 94	Į.
The state of the s	. 1
(j) Contact with Hospital Personnel	
very useful (2) 7 5 2 5 4 23	}
useful (1) 20 7 7 12 7 53	
not useful (0) 4 2 6 9 5 26	
400464 (0)	
Total 31 14 15 26 16 102	
Mean 1.1 1.2 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.	.0



TABLE 10 - Continued

			P	S	v	E	С	Total
(k)	Contacts with Fe	ellow Studer	nts			<del> </del>		
(14)	very useful	(2)	11	8	6	11	5	41
	useful	(1)	19	7	7	12	11	56
	not useful	(0)	0	1	2		1,	11
		Total	_30	16	15	25	17	103
		Mean	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3
(1)	Term Papers, Nur	sing Care I	Plans					
	very useful	(2)	5	7	2	7	5	26
	useful	(1)	18	8	10	15	11	62
	not useful	(0)	9	2	3	4	2	20
		Total	_32	17	15	26	18	108
		Mean	0.9	1.3	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.1
(m)	Pre & Post Conf.	in Clin. I	Fac.					
(1117)	very useful	(2)	9	11	1	8	6	35
	useful	(1)	. 13	5	7	11	8	44
	not useful	(0)	10	1	6	5	5	27
						~ .	1.0	105
		Total	33	<u>1</u> 7		_24	<u> 1</u> 9	106
		Mean	1.0	1.6	0.6	1.1	1.1	1.1
(n)	Clinical Experie	ences (in te	erms					
	of patients)						3.5	0.1
	very useful	(2)	26	12	12	16	15	81
	useful	(1)	6	4	3	10	4	27
	not useful	(0)		0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
		Total	_33	16	<u>1</u> 5	<u> 2</u> 6	19	109
		Mean	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.7
(o)	Cognitive Mappir	ng (if expen	rienced	1)				
( /	very useful	(2)	0	2	0	1	1	4
	useful	(1)	1	1	0	2	Ο.	4
	not useful	(0)	0	0	2	00	1	3
		Total	_1_	3	22	3	2	11
		Mean	1.0	1.7	0.0	1.3	1.0	1.1

TABLE 11 - Below are some of the areas covered on your State Boards.

Please indicate (1) areas in which you felt strongest, average,
and weakest, and (2) whether you felt your instruction was
sufficient.



TABLE 11 - Continued

			P	S	V	E	C	Total_
(a)	Understood RN Rol	e (incl.le		<del></del>				
	strong	(2)	16	7	5	5	7	40
	average	(1)	15	8	7	<u>1</u> 3	10	5.3
	weak	(0)	1.	0	<u> </u>	4	<del>2</del>	8
		Total	32	15	13	22	19	101
		Mean	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.3
	inst. more than							
	sufficient	(2)	8	2	3	1	3	17
	inst. sufficient	(1)	22	1.3	R	16	11	70
		(O)	2	1	3	6	5	17
	inst. not suffic.	(9)		<u> </u>				
		Total	_32	16	14	_23	<u>1</u> 9	104
		Mean	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.0
(b)	Obstetrical Nursi	ng						
ν-,	strong	<u> </u>	16	10	3	5	7	41
	average	(1)	15	6	6	14	10	51
	weak	(0)	2	1	5	5 '	2	15
	weak	(0)						
		Total	_33	<u>1</u> 7	14_	24	<u>1</u> 9	<u>1</u> 3 <u>7</u>
		Mean	1.4	1.5	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.2
	inst. more than							
	sufficient	(2)	10	6	1	5	3	25
	inst. sufficient	(1)	21	10	5	10	12	58
	inst. not suffic.	(0)	0	1	8	8 .	4	21
	THEC. NOT SHITTE.	(0)						
		Total	31	17	14	_23	<u> 1</u> 9	104
		Mean	1.3	1.3	0.5	0,9	0.9	1.0
(c)	Medical~Surgical	Nursing						
(-,	strong	(2)	21	8	6	9	5	49
	average	(1)	12	4	7	12	11	46
	weak	(0)	0	5		3	2	11
	-	m - L - 1	22	17	14.	2/1	18	106
		Total						
1,5		Mean	1.6	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.4
	inst. more <b>t</b> han							2.5
	sufficient	(2)	10	4	4	3	4	25
	inst. sufficient	(1)	21	8	8	13	14	64
	inst. not suffic.	(0)		5	2	7	0	16
ar	ž.	Total	33 _	17	14	_23	18	<u>.:.05</u>
		Mean	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.1
*								

TABLE 11 - Continued

			P	S	V	Γ:	<u> </u>	Total
(d)	Pediatric Nursing							
((1)	strong	(2)	13	10	6	. 4	5	38
	average	(1)	14	6	7	16	12	55
	weak	(0)	5	O	1.	4	2	1.2
							**************************************	34, 4, 5, 4, 4, 4, 1, 1
		Total	32	16	1,	24	<u> 19</u>	<u> 105</u> _
المراهد ومن		Mean	1.2_	1.6	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.2
	inst. more than							
	sù∉icient	(2)	10	7	4	3	5	29
	inst. sufficient	(1)	16	9	á	16	11	30
	inst. not suffic.	(0)	4	1	2	5	3	.15
		(5)		<del></del>				
		Total	30	<u>1</u> 7	14	_24	<u> 19</u>	104
	•	Mean	1.2	1.4	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.1
(e)	Psýchiatric Nursi	', ng ,			1140			
,	strong	<u> </u>	8	7	: 3	13	3	34
	average	(1)	13	9 ′	8	6	9	45
,	weak	(0)	12	ι	3	4	6	26
		• •			7			
	· ·	Total	_33	_17	14'	_23	<u>1</u> 8	105
		Mean	0.9	1.4	/1.0	1.4	0.8	1.1
	inst. more than				!			
	sufficient	(2)	. 1	.6	6	6	3	22
	inst. sufficient	(1)	15	10	6	17	7	55
	inst. not suffic.	(0)	16	1	2	1	9	29
	Title of the carrier.						·	
		Total	32	<u>1</u> 7	14	24	_19	106
	,	Mean	0.5	1.3	1.3	1.2	0.7	0.9
(£)	Nursing Process			-	:			
121	strong	(2)	6	9	3	4	2	24
5	average	(1)	23	8	9	15	14	69
	weak	(0)	2	0	1	4	2	9
	TV Sec Sect 2 %	(0)		j.a.			······································	
		Total	31	_17	13	_23	<u>1</u> 8	102
		Mean	1.1	1.5	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.1
	inst, more than						,	
	sufficient	(2)	4	5	1	1	4	15
	inst. sufficient	(1)	20	11 .	10	14	9	64
	inst. not suffic.	(0)	3	0	3	8	5 -	19
		Total	<u>_2</u> 7	_ <del>1</del> 6	_14	23	18!	<u>98</u>
	<b>6</b>	Mean	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3

TABLE 11 - Continued

			P	S	C	E	<u> </u>	Total
(g)	Social Sciences	(Psych., So	c.)			-		,
19,	strong	(2)	9	5	5	5	3	27
	average	(1)	21	9	8	16	16	70
	weak	(0)	2	0	0	0	0	22
		Total	32	14	13		<u>1</u> 9	99_
		Mean	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3
	inst. more than							
	sufficient	(2)	2	5	3	5	3	18
	inst. sufficient	(1)	20	10	10	17	14	71
	inst. not suffic.	(0)	4	0	` <u>1</u>	11	2	8
		·Total	26	15	_14	23	<u> 1</u> 9	<u>97</u>
		Mean	0.9	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1

# TABLE 12 - Age

		P	S	v	E	С	Total
** 1 20	-		0	0	0	0	Ô
Under 20 20-2 <b>4</b>		1	1	2	2	4	10
25–29	1,	10	2	3	2	11	28
30-34		4	9	4	0	2	19 9
35-39		4	1	1	1	0	9. 19
over 40	,	10 .	- 4				
4.	Total	29	17_	14	6	_19_	85
	Madian Are	34	32	32	27	27	31

# TABLE 13 - Year Graduated from RN Program

	-	P	s	V	E	С	Total
1981		0	4	0	1	1	6
1980		10	5	13	3	4	35
1979		2	1	0	2	5	10
1978		1	1	0	3	2	7
1977		5	1	Ö	2	3	11
1976		1	1	0	4	. 4	10
1975		4 ,	2	0	O	O	6
1974		2	0	0	0	Ö	2
1973		3	1	O	О	0	4
1972		1	1	0	0	0	2
	Total	29	17	13	15	19	93



TABLE

14 - Pursuit of Higher Education									
	P	S	V	E	C	Total			
now pursuing	9	7	4	8	10	38			
not now pursuing	16	8	10	6	9	49			
Total	25_	15_	_14	14_	19	87			
% Pursuing	36	47	29	57	53	44			
If yes, level?									
2 year	1	0	0	О	0	1			
Undergraduate BSN	3	8	4	5	8	28			
Undergraduate Other	2	1	3	2	2	<u>1</u> 0			
Graduate Nursing	0	0	O.	1	О	1			
Graduate Other	4	11	0	1	0	6			
Total	10	10	7	9	10	46			
,									
15 - Continuing Education									
	P	S	C	E	C	Total			
How soon after graduation did you start continuing education?	1								
1st year	1.3	7	9	7	12	48			
2nd year	4	2	1	4	4	15			
after 3rd year	3	2	ō	3	0	8			

TABLE	15	_	Continuing Education

	P	S	C	E	C	Total
How soon after graduation	n					
did you start continu-	_					
ing education?						
1st year	13	7	9	7	12	48
2nd year	4	2	1	4	4	15
after 3rd year	3	2	0	3	0	8
Total	20	11	10	14	16	<u>71</u>
Continuing education was	done:					
inservice	20	5	8	10	12	55
formal education	14	6	4	6	7	37
Total	34	11	12	16	19_	92
•						
In the area of:		_				3.0
medical nursing	12	1	3	4	12	32
surgical nursing	10	Ó	2	1	5	18
pediatrics	3	1	1	2	3	10
critical care	3	3	Ċ	2	2	10
psy <b>c</b> hiatric	2	2	O	1	2	7
maternity	1	2	2	1	0	6
oncology	4	0	0	О	2	6
other (1 each)	1	4	3	1	. 0	9
						2.0
Total	36	13	11	12	26	98



TABLE 16 - Summary of Comments (Suggestions for improving program)

_	Р	S	V	E	C	Total
-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
More clinical time	7	1	2	6	11	27
Improve instruction (gen)	6	0	6	2	10	24
More on team leading	O	0	2	0	4	6
Improve instruction (psy.)	3	0	0	0	2	5
More basics-how to study,						
take tests	О	0	0	3	. 0	3
Too much busywork	1	0	0	0	1	2
More info on IV's	1	0	1	0	0	2
Broader MedSurg. content	: 0	1	O	1	0	2
Less time with A-V	1	O	1	0	, 0	2
More study groups	O	O	0	2	О	2
More on "Total Patient						
Care"	O	O	1	1	0	2
Better screening for				4.		
admission to program	0	0	1	1	0	2
Total No. of Comments	19	2	14	16	28	
No. of Students Comm.	18	2			17	61
% Commenting _	55	12	67	52	89	55

# SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study summarizes responses to a survey of recent Nursing graduates in five district colleges, each college group to represent a specific ethnic background (with one exception, Valley College, where it was decided to have a multi-ethnic group). Responses were received from 111 students, as follows: Pierce (Anglo) - 33, Southwest (Black) - 17, Valley (Multi-ethnic) - 15, East L.A. (Hispanic) - 27, and L.A.C.C. (Asian/Pacific) - 19.

Following are some observations on the findings:

- (1) 65% of the students indicated they worked while attending college. Highest % working were LACC and East L.A.; lowest Southwest.
- (2) Median age of respondents (presumably at time of responding) was 31; lowest median age was at East L.A. and LACC, highest at Pierce.
- (3) One third of the respondents graduated in 1980 (100% at Vall ); Pierce and Southwest respondents' graduation dates went as far back as 1972, East L.A. and LACC to 1976.
- (4) About one in five students (most at Valley, none at Pierce) used tutoring services. Most of those tutored felt that they were helped, especially in mathematics.
- (5) Students from all five colleges agreed that repetition of topics in more than one class is necessary in all the Nursing areas, especially in Medical-Surgical Nursing.



- (6) When asked to evaluate types of learning experiences, students rated study of textbooks, classroom lecture and discussion, clinical experiences, and review of classroom notes as most useful. Least useful were field trips, general education courses and contacts with hospital personnel.
- (7) When asked concerning their feelings about sections of the State Boards, students indicated they felt strongest in Medical-Surgical Nursing, weakest in Psychiatric Nursing, although response differences were not great.
- (8) Students felt strongly (especially at East L.A. and LACC) that more clinical time at the hospital was needed.
- (9) When asked to offer written comments or suggestions for program improvement, slightly over half did so. Nine of ten LACC students responded to this invitation, only one in eight from Southwest. In their comments, students reaffirmed the need for more clinical time and offered some recommendations for classroom instruction improvement. These recommendations generally called for more lectures, less audio-visual, more on team leading, and improvement of presentations on Psychiatric Nursing.
- (10) Slightly less than half the respondents are now pursuing higher education. Most "continuing education" was "inservice" training, mainly in the areas of medical and surgical nursing.

JUL 24 1981

UNIVERSATION CALIFORNIA

[ENG] CLEVEN THOUSE FOR

CONTON OF BLEGS

ON TOWERS FOR ANY BUILDING
LOS ARTHURS, CALIFORNIA 50024

